

Hampton Education Foundation Grant Rating Rubric - Innovative Grant

	0 Points	1 Points	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	Max Points Possible
Project Description: Overview & Details	Proposal demonstrates NO overview, evidence of target population, specific project goals or differentiation	Proposal demonstrates minimal overview, evidence of target population, specific project goals & differentiation	Proposal demonstrates some overview, evidence of target population, specific project goals & differentiation	Proposal demonstrates a clear overview, evidence of target population, specific project goals & differentiation	Proposal demonstrates substantial overview, evidence of target population, specific project goals & differentiation	4
Innovation	Proposal demonstrates NO innovative ideas 0	Proposal demonstrates minimal innovative ideas 1 x 2	Proposal demonstrates some innovative ideas 2 x 2	Proposal demonstrates innovative features 3 x 2	Proposal is completely innovative! 4 x 2	8
Does Project Help Children Learn? Correlation with SOL's or Educational Research	NO evidence project will improve learning (correlation with SOL's, current educational research or other)	Minimal evidence project will improve learning (correlation with SOL's, current educational research or other)	Some evidence project will improve learning (correlation with SOL's, current educational research or other)	Clear evidence project will improve learning (correlation with SOL's, current educational research or other)	Substantial evidence project will improve learning (correlation with SOL's, current educational research or other)	4
Project Materials, Budget & Timeline	NO materials list, budget chart, effective use or funding is included.	Minimal materials list, budget chart, effective use & funding is included.	Some materials list, budget chart, effective use & funding is included.	Clear materials list, budget chart, effective use & funding is included.	Substantial materials list, budget chart, effective use & funding is included.	4
Project Dissemination	NO evidence of benefits, sharing with colleagues or spreading to community.	Minimal evidence of benefits, sharing with colleagues & spreading to community.	Some evidence of benefits, sharing with colleagues & spreading to community.	Clear evidence of benefits, sharing with colleagues & spreading to community.	Substantial evidence of benefits, sharing with colleagues & spreading to community.	4
Project Evaluation	NO evidence of effect, objectives or measured outcomes.	Minimal evidence of effect, objectives & measured outcomes.	Some evidence of effect, objectives & measured outcomes.	Clear evidence of effect, objectives & measured outcomes.	Substantial evidence of effect, objectives & measured outcomes.	4
Presentation	Proposal has numerous grammar, spelling & syntax errors; interferes with clarity of proposal.	Proposal has significant grammar, spelling & syntax errors; interferes with clarity of proposal.	Proposal contains considerable grammar, spelling & syntax errors.	Proposal contains minimal grammar, spelling & syntax errors.	Professional Proposal - no errors!	4
Committee Judge Score	Committee judge can award up to 2 extra points at their discretion for reasons such as outstanding quality of application or successful outcome of applicant's past grants.					2
Maximum Innovative Grant Rating						34